LDF

<u> </u>	
From: Sent: To: Subject:	26 August 2017 LDF Re: Comments on the recently published Local Plan.
,	, parameter and the second parameter and the s
> (I could not find a dedic >	ments to whoever "wants" them. ated response page for the Plan).
> Having attended one of following comments for	the local presentations about the Garden City Suburb (GCS) area, I would like to record the nat area :
> > Traffic:	
> I would hope that, to a transport from the new a overflow from accidents load the existing roads.	oid more congestion by cars, this opportunity will be taken to encourage the use of public rea into the town. The effects of gridlock in this area from the, increasingly frequent, traffic etc on the adjacent motorways needs to be considered - the GCS proposals just seem to
> Public transport:	
> The use of dedicated ro cars. No evidence of this	utes for public transport seems to work successfully in other towns, to tempt people out of on the Plan.
transit route rather than	his Trail (in the section adjacent to Richmond Ave, Thelwall) could be better as a rail a road. A rail option would cause less disruption to the current housing, and (if electric) e inevitable increased pollution, especially in the area near Sir Thomas Boteler High
town.	nevitable increase air pollution, unless serious public transport links are included in to
> Care Facilities: > There seems to be no r population". >	ention of the requirement for additional social care facilities etc for the " ageing
> Cycling:	
> Radial cycling routes to	areas of employment seem to be lacking .
expand (sustainably) - de	licy of continued "growth" is obviously flawed - it is physically impossible to continue to pite what businesses want.)
> Regards,	
- Nogurud,	
	

1

> (Please acknowledge these comments).