

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Sir/Madam

Ref; OBJECTION TO WARRINGTON PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT OPTION.

[REDACTED] I have been to meeting and spoken to various people representing WBC, who have not helped WBC one bit, as they all have different stories.

My objection letter is based upon the following,

1, Internal infrastructure before the work takes place and after it is complete for instance, all these new homes and businesses need refuse collections, who will pay to get started, bin lorries, garages, operatives, gritters, and general up keep, I hope this is not down to the Warrington people who are currently paying.

2, Air Quality is poor at the present as we are low lying how will this get better it cannot it will get worse.

3, Road high level how on earth will this make traffic lighter, if there is a motorway problem it will make it worse. There will be 4 more bottle necks I can make out.

4, Wild life I sit in my back garden with a fantastic abundance of wild life, Bats, Birds etc, a large amount of this will be killed.

5, Green belt land, [REDACTED] I have had an extension on my property and have had to pay for plan alteration as I went into green belt by 5ft this was last year, but WBC are ok to use green belt when they want to these are double standard.

6, Ask yourselves the future is our children and there children's children, they will benefit not us, Green fields are better than Warrington as a concrete jungle.

7, City why, who wants it NO BODY IN WARRINGTON.

8, we all now houses are required but why ruin everything, use what you have brown field sites.

9, why do you want to ruin the most beautiful part of a town, Trans Pennine trail, embankment with trees, walkways, family spaces.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]