

# REGARDING "PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT OPTION REGULATION 18 CONSULTATION JULY 2017

I wish to register my objections to the following points in the above :-

The local environment. Consultation question 4 (page 18)

The feeling of "going into the countryside" would be lost along Runcorn Road and possibly the A56. There are presently some older properties along Runcorn Road, and across the fields Grange Mill, which sit well in their own landscapes. This would totally change and be unrecognisable if the land was over-developed. The roads and lanes round this area are used by cyclists, walkers, runners, horse riders (on horse & in pony-traps). This would all disappear. There are several stables and liverys in our village which would be affected. Runcorn Road is also well used presently by cars and trucks passing through, and slurry tankers have to call at various properties in the village (including our estate).

Do you agree with the Preferred Option? Consultation question 7 (page 25).

As quoted in the assessment, this would impact on the character of the wider area. There would be no distinction between Moore and Warrington (and Moore does not come under Warrington Borough Council), which, when extended the other way, equals Warrington to Runcorn. Sandymoor is being extended (a new school has already been built) and building shortly will start at Delph Lane. So Moore would be on a long road from Warrington to Runcorn, with no definition showing the start and end of Moore.

Warrington Waterfront Proposals along the Manchester Ship Canal. Consultation question 10 (pages 38-39).

This would be hugely detrimental to us who live on Promenade Park, across the canal from the proposed site. To the west of us is Manor Park Industrial Estate, standing on the banks of the Ship canal... could this be developed for warehousing? Some of it looks empty, and after all, the shipping doesn't stop on the canal at Moore swing bridge, to load or unload. The rail link isn't built yet, although Peel apparently have permission for it. Are the warehouse plans being slipped into this plan to "hide" them, instead of putting them forward on their own merits, if and when required??

The Nature reserve on the north side of the canal is well used, as is the "dog" field, the bird hides, the lanes over there, by birdwatchers, walkers runners, strollers, etc. There are several lakes/ponds for wildfowl, a good area of trees, nesting grounds for all types of birds etc.

All would be lost.

That is before we get to the noise and lighting associated with any warehousing, involving forklift trucks (with beepers), cranes for containers etc. According to the Merseyplan from Peel, the warehousing would be 12 metres high, no trees could be planted because of security..

I would urge you to re-consider your thoughts and plans on all the above.

