

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to express feelings about the proposed developments across Lymm.

In my opinion these developments are not wanted by many locals for many reasons, such as,

- a) Increased traffic congestion to the Lymm and Warrington areas, we can't and shouldn't take any more congestion, we already suffer enough from the current high traffic levels.
- b) Impact on local health , ie pollution and health services that are already oversubscribed.
- c) Impact on local schools, again all are already oversubscribed.
- d) Loss of greenbelt land that provides essential mental well being for the community.

Please kindly consider the further impact such developments will have on the existing communities of Lymm and Warrington in particular the loss of greenbelt status that would almost certainly lead to further development in the future. It is obvious to me that the latest proposals are unsustainable and they are a clear strategic move to gain further planning consent knowing that greenbelt status will be removed.

Important points relating to the proposed development of GreenBelt Parcels in Lymm

1. Incremental growth would have no positive contribution to the Council New City Aspiration.
2. Incremental growth could be achieved without impact on the strategic importance of the Green Belt between Lymm and the main urban area of Warrington
3. Primary Schools within the Lymm area are at or near maximum capacity
4. Primary schools within the Lymm area are unable and/or too difficult to extend within their boundaries.
5. Lymm's only high school 'Lymm High' is ant or near maximum capacity and unable to extend.
6. Lymm GP and Dentist facilities at or near maximum capacity
7. Incremental Growth would cause increased traffic levels and delays on existing links and junctions.
8. Why did the Council carry out a Traffic Survey on the A56 in front of Lymm Dam during August when the majority of the UK public is on Holiday an Schools are off? A true representation of traffic flow and volume will not have been achieved during this time.
9. Incremental growth would place additional demands on existing open space provision.
10. Incremental growth would also put pressure on existing sports pitches/facilities.
11. Incremental growth would see Lymm increase in size by approximately 10%.

12. The land around Lymm includes Local Wildlife Sites, protected trees, hedgerows, Grade 2 Agricultural Land and mineral resources.
13. This scale of growth in Lymm would detract from the promotion of Warrington New City.
14. Existing facilities will not be able to accommodate the demands from a major urban extension.
15. There would be an impact on the strategic importance of the Green Belt between Lymm and the main urban area of Warrington.
16. Any development around Lymm of the proposed scale would result in the town centre not being as easily accessible.
17. Lymm is identified as an historic town in the Cheshire Historic Town Survey and the centre of Lymm is designated as a Conservation Area. The urban area of Lymm contains 32 statutory listed buildings.
18. Lymm water tower and St Peter's Church, Oughtrington are the two local landmarks.

No I do not want this development to go ahead and strongly object.

Yours sincerely

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.