

[REDACTED]

---

[REDACTED]

I recently attended the event at Lymm Village Hall regarding the Local Plan. I live in Lymm as I have for 20 yrs.

We are told that Green belt land will be 'released' for development 'according to government plans' and graciously invited to express our views on the proposal.

My view is that the Green Belt is being hijacked.

. Extensive tracts of Greenbelt land around and infilling the area of Lymm, approximately doubling the size of the Village, were identified, with no indication of which of those areas were most likely for development.

Q.1. Was this deliberate in order to deflect the reaction of those worse affected ? Why were we told we have influence as *where* but not as to *whether* this so called requirement is in fact required.

The influx of 500 households, as a conservative estimate, involves the provision of 2000 total and 1000 children extra requiring health and education provision. We were told blandly that this will be catered for by 'provision of extra Primary Care, and expansion of existing schools'

Q.2. *How* will this be done ? *Where* will it be done ? *How* will G.P's be found during a national shortage ? *Where* are teachers coming from during a national exodus from the profession ?

Roads through the village center and central parking are already inadequate. Roads are reduced to single track by double parking. To benefit the village economy there must be access and parking.

Q.3. *How* and *where* will this infrastructure change occur.

No mention was made of water provision and sewerage disposal, despite a likely 12% increase in population.

Q 4. *Where* and *how* will such provision occur ?

Greenbelt land is valued at around £8000/acre. Development land at £ 1 million. In addition huge profit will be made by the developer(s).

Q.5. *What* is the mechanism by which the Council Tax payers of the area are informed of the extent and beneficiaries of this massive profit potential ? *How much* is the estimated extra income to the Council from the additional rates ?

In short I view the proposals with the utmost suspicion, and look forward to answers to the concerns expressed.

Regards,

[REDACTED]