



Dear sir/madam,

I have just returned from holiday and a leaflet (I think from a local resident) has been put through my door detailing development in Warrington. This "Preferred Option" of creating a link to the motorways is along the existing Trans Pennine Trail through Latchford, Grappenhall and Thelwall and affecting Lymm. This is the first I have heard about it as I have not been informed of this officially nor have I been asked for my views.

I believe that during both the construction phase and upon completion this will have a detrimental effect upon my way of life:-

- the "Preferred Option" will affect my health and well-being;
- local noise;
- light and air quality;
- my house price and ability to sell my house;
- the local natural habitats that exist in the current embankment area.
- The local schools and local community will be affected.
- Additionally, I use the Trans Pennine trail daily for running and cycling.
- The construction of the new road will be exceptionally disruptive from heavy machinery during the years that the construction phase will take.

There has not even been a properly planned consultation process that has enabled all potentially affected land- and home-owners to be formally communicated with.

I also do not understand some of the 'logic' within the "Preferred Option" for the proposed infrastructure upgrades - the document suggests that transport links within Warrington centre should be modified so that any motorway incident can be accommodated. Surely, it would make more sense to upgrade the motorway network to prevent incidents or have the additional capacity required? For example, smart motorways, widened motorways, use of hard shoulders etc etc. None of this has been considered properly as part of the NW Region Transport network. In essence, the 'logic' utilised attempts to address the symptom not the root cause.

To this end, I respectfully request that there is a local consultation event in Lymm, with invitations going to all residents as previous sessions were not adequately publicised. We will require to see copies of consultation forms and development proposals.

Lastly, with the current information that is available within the public domain, please note that I fully object to this development progressing. Our taxpayers money should be better spent upon other areas such as our NHS and schools.

Please acknowledge receipt of this objection.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]