

[REDACTED]

Dear Sir,

I moved to Moore in [REDACTED] because I wanted to retire to a quiet village on the outskirts. I enjoy living in Moore, in a Conservation Area [REDACTED] with access to the Nature Reserve, the canal and the local countryside. Moore is a village with a strong community where people are friendly and look out for their neighbours.

The proposal that Warrington has put forward encroaches right up to the boundary with Moore Village and thus neglects to meet the planning requirement that authorities should maintain a greenbelt buffer to ensure communities have a distinct division. Having lived in Warrington for [REDACTED] years before moving to Moore, I have had experience of the facilities within the Authority and consider that it is badly served to meet the requirements of its existing population. Not only are there insufficient GP surgeries, dental care and residential and hospital care for the current needs but the failure of existing transport infrastructure cannot be stressed enough. The proposed developments would only see an increase on the demands for such services. The proposals for the new road would see an influx of more traffic, as the completion of the new Mersey bridge, being tolled, would encourage diversion through Warrington, a town already surrounded by 3 major motorways.

The development of Port Warrington would also see an increase in traffic (something that has already been an issue for Moore residents, with Peel Holdings disregarding an agreement about numbers of lorries travelling over a listed railway bridge) and also see the destruction of Moore Nature Reserve, a development which Peel Holdings undertook in exchange for the extraction of valuable Cheshire sandstone. It now wants to destroy this environment, again for its own commercial interests. The proposals for Port Warrington are, I am told, at least five times greater than initial planning enquiries indicated. Should this proceed, the residents of Promenade Park will not only face a loss of visual amenity but also, in contrast to the rural retirement environment they chose, will be faced with a major industrial enterprise operating for 24 hours per day, seven days per week on their doorsteps. This is a far cry from the much smaller enterprise they had been told to expect. In conclusion, for all of the above reasons and more I object to the proposed development plan in its current form. It would destroy Moore Village and the surrounding environment. I have listed below, as bullet points, my major areas of objection.

Yours sincerely,

- [REDACTED]
- Moore is a Conservation area and contains many listed building which need protection
 - Moore is a close community with many activities for villagers, the whole atmosphere of the village would be destroyed if this development was to go ahead
 - The Warrington proposal comes right up to Moore Village boundary between Halton and Warrington authorities ignoring the planning requirement to maintain a greenbelt buffer ensuring communities have a distinct division
 - The scale of the development gives no provision to adequately improve a transport infrastructure which is already inadequate
 - Amenity infrastructure- eg leisure, hospital, GP surgeries, dental care, residential care is already full and insufficient for current needs

- Moore area is an amenity for many walkers, dog walkers, fishermen, leisure boats, cyclists, nature watchers, families who use this area for enjoyment and relaxation. Destruction of this would be the the loss of a vital resource which ensures health and well being for Walton. Halton and beyond
- Research has shown that connection and access to nature is massively beneficial to the mental health of populations. The Royal College of Psychology has an advisor for this aspect for mental health on a permanent basis. Preservation of the Green belt is a way fo councils to support the health of their population and to save money on an already strained infastructure
- Loss of the Nature Reserve (which Peel Holdings argued for in the 80's and now want to destroy) will be of massive detriment to the environment, not only from an ecological point of view but also impact greatly on the quality of life of those people living in Promenade Park.

The majority of the people who live there are elderly people who have chosen this quiet spot to enjoy their retirement in a relaxed and tranquil environment. Many of these people have signed a petition opposing the massive expanded proposed development of “Port Warrington”, only to be told that their contribution of objection will only counted as one vote. This is democracy? Surely, the notion we have one vote for one person has been a fundamental establishment, embedded into the foundation of British justice for many years