

## **'Local Plan Preferred Development Option' consultation Response**

### **Objection 1- Process and instructions unclear.**

e.g. page 55 LDP point 7.2 “standard response form can be found here”- No hyperlink to a response form.

Point 7.3 -hyperlink to an email address. No instructions that name address must be supplied.

I'm concerned that objections may be received by WBC and dismissed due being on incorrect forms. I am aware that WBC disregarded previous planning application objections due to not having the word “Objection” in the email title. Please re consult with more clarity.

### **Objection 2- Clarity of maps**

Maps are very unclear, Names of roads are not clear. Difficult to distinguish between roads/canal/railway lines. Very poor quality overlays.

Please re consult with clear maps.

### **Objection 2- Clarity of intensions**

Confusing information is being released. For example PDO documents state “City Status” (page 33 table 11, and Page 13 point 4.6 page 34 fig 4 etc.) however the desire to become a city has been flatly denied by WBC.

Confusing information regarding transport routes. PDO shows page 41 Fig 7. Shows “strategic road/public transport route” on the site of the Trans Pennine walkway and onward in to Warrington on the disused railway. WBC representative has flatly denied that this is being considered as a “strategic road/public transport route” and has stated that it is campaigners desire to frighten homeowners in the area.

Please can clarity be given

### **Objection 4 Requirements for 28,000 properties highest of the projections.**

Lower growth rate states 955 properties per annum

Higher growth rate states 1113 per annum

Higher rate would equal less than 28,000?

If lower rate was used IRO 19,000 would be required, therefore 400 properties on greenbelt not 9000.

### **Therefore Objection 5. Loss of green belt**

Based on above the loss of greenbelt land cannot be justified and once lost will not be replaced. The land owned by Home and Communities Agency in the Warrington vicinity including south Warrington could easily accommodate 400 properties without the loss of any further green belt.

