

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Plan](#)
Subject: Re: NTL5 Consultation on Warrington Borough Council's Proposed Submission Version Local Plan
Date: 15 April 2019 20:53:38

Dear Warrington BC,

Thank you for this communication to your community - although as a resident I absolutely do not feel like we are being heard or that you care - why invoke a whip on labour councillors to vote this decision through !

I have just been to Singapore an amazing City which boasts that they put Citizens at the heart of what they do. They preserve the wildlife and green areas for both the common and the wider good - indeed they have created world class attractions for tourists by doing so - Gardens by the Bay being a fine example - whilst not directly compatible it shows that with the right approach and by involving residents you could come up with a far more acceptable or indeed progressive plan here ... you seem (like most of UK by comparison) to be stuck in a time warp especially when it comes to making these kind of 'big' decisions.

Can you stop pretending to use these events are to consult - you obviously have already made your decision hence the whip on the vote.

If you must build houses then why don't you put them in South Birchwood by the M6 - no need for new infrastructure as there's a train station and Motorway already there !!

Feeling disgusted - save our Green Belt.

Kind regards,
Martin

Martin Pike
[REDACTED]

On 15 Apr 2019, at 23:37, Local Plan <localplan@warrington.gov.uk> wrote:

On 25th March 2019 the Council approved the Proposed Submission Version Local Plan for public consultation in accordance with Regulation 19 of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended).

The consultation commences for a nine week period from **Monday 15th April 2019 until 5.00pm on Monday 17th June 2019**. The statement of representations procedure for the consultation is attached to this email.

The Proposed Submission Version Local Plan sets out the Council's proposed approach to meeting Warrington's development needs up to 2037. Once adopted, it will replace the policies in the 2014 Local Plan Core Strategy.

Following the consultation, the Council intends to submit the Proposed Submission Version Local Plan to the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government for public examination by an Inspector appointed by him to consider the soundness of the Plan, prior to its adoption.

Representations made to the Proposed Submission Version Local Plan will be considered by the examining Inspector as part of their assessment of the soundness of the Local Plan.

The Proposed Submission Version Local Plan and accompanying documents - including the Sustainability Appraisal Report, Habitats Regulations Assessment, Policies Map and online representation form - are available to view and download at:

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Plan](#)
Subject: Objection to WBC local plan
Date: 17 June 2019 15:57:42

Dear Warrington BC,

I strongly object to the proposals you are making within your local plan - not least because it will unjustifiably destroy the green belt in South Warrington!

The basis of your calculations for the addition of 18,900 houses across Warrington by 2037 seems dubious and at the very least flawed and I see no need to write off huge areas of green belt at this time - you only need to look 10yrs ahead in my option as you already have a significant area land banked in Appleton for new build.

I strongly object to your plans for the 656 development- these plans are huge covering 365 hectares of pristine land, some of it precious Green Belt land; local commentary is saying these plans would create the second biggest commercial estate in the country behind Trafford Park.

We don't need 7400 houses in South Warrington. If built the character of Appleton Thorn as a village would be destroyed.

The impact of the 656 commercial development would significantly impact the traffic congestion around Jct 20 which is already heavily congested at rush hour and every now and again is jammed with an accident on the M6 - this will just add further to the chaos - if this development is passed you can only do so with a direct access onto the M56.

As a council you have a duty of care to protect your citizens from undue harm - as such passing these plans will be a breach of this care. The Pollution and air quality in Warrington is already high indeed reports say Warrington has the worst record in the country for dangerous small 2.5-micron particulate emissions. Given there is a proven link between exposure to small particulates and premature death - you are leaving yourselves wide open to a legal challenge.

I do not agree with your choice of designating the Green Belt in South Warrington as acceptable land for development - your recent report appeared to be biased and did not appear to take into consideration the agricultural grade of the land.

The most obvious site for this any development of this scale is next to area where there is infrastructure already in place - that means South of the train station at Birchwood next to the M6 where an access road can be easily added and houses could be screened from the motorway .

Kind regards,
Martin

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Warrington

[REDACTED]