

[REDACTED]

13-06-2019.

### LOCAL PLAN CONSULTATION.

PLEASE ADD MY COMMENTS TO THE OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS.

- 1) I WELCOME THE LOCAL PLAN WHICH SHOULD HELP A GREAT DEAL IN DIRECTING THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF WARRINGTON.
- 2) HAVING SPENT A LONG TIME SPEARHEADING ACTION TO FINALLY CLOSE SILVER LANE LANDFILL SITE I AM PARTICULARLY PLEASED THAT THE COUNCIL HAS CLEARLY STATED ITS INTENTION TO PREVENT THE IMPORT OF WASTE TO THE BOROUGH IN THE FUTURE.
- 3) I AM DUBIOUS THAT THE COUNCIL HAS THE RESOURCE TO MONITOR + CONTROL DEVELOPMENT PARTICULARLY IN THE SPECIALIST AREAS OF MINERALS AND WASTE.
- 4) I AM DELIGHTED THAT THE COUNCIL INTENDS TO PREVENT FUTURE EXTRACTION OF PEAT FROM OUR AREA.
- 5) I AM CONCERNED AT THE REFERENCE TO AN INFRASTRUCTURE LEUY AND AM NOT SURE I KNOW WHAT THIS MEANS.
- 6) WHILST I APPLAUD ALL EFFORTS TO CLEAN UP OUR AIR I AM CONCERNED THAT THE DOCUMENT FOCUSES ON PRIVATE CARS AS A REMEDY. AS A [REDACTED] YEAR OLD LIVING IN A RURAL LOCATION MY CAR IS VITAL TO ME. WHILST I AM MORE THAN HAPPY TO USE PUBLIC TRANSPORT (AND DO) THIS IS NOT MADE EASY IN CUCHETH [REDACTED] BY THE LIMITED CAR PARKING AND THE INFREQUENT BUS SERVICE.
- 7) I AM CONCERNED THAT THE PLAN FOCUSES ON CYCLING AS A MEANS OF GETTING ABOUT. WHERE I LIVE CYCLING IS DANGEROUS AND I AM TOO OLD!!
- 8) MY MAIN CONCERN ABOUT THE PLAN IS THAT IT CENTRES ON BUILDING MORE HOUSES THAN "THE MINIMUM GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENT" AND I AM NOT SURE THE FIGURES ADD UP.

THE PAAM ALSO FOCUSES ON THE PROVISION OF "AFFORDABLE HOUSING" AND WHILST RECOGNISING HOW DIFFICULT IT IS TO GET ON THE HOUSING LADDER IT WAS THE SAME WHEN I SCRIMPED AND SAVED TO BUY MY FIRST HOUSE.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS GOOD IN PRINCIPLE BUT IT IS REALLY A SUBSTITUTE FOR ADEQUATE PROVISION OF COUNCIL HOUSES. I NOTE THAT THE COUNCIL INTENDS TO LIMIT THE ABILITY OF DEVELOPERS TO MAKE A PAYMENT "IN LIEU" OF PUTTING AFFORDABLE HOUSES ON THEIR ESTATES WHICH I CONSIDER TO BE A RETROGRADE STEP.

9). I AM VERY CONCERNED AT THE COUNCIL'S DETERMINATION (EVIDENT THROUGH OUT THE PLAN) TO ENCRDACH ON THE ESTABLISHED GREEN BELT BOUNDARIES BY PERMITTING LARGE BLOCKS OF HOUSES ON FORMERLY GREEN BELT LAND. THE COUNCIL'S ASSERTIONS THAT "GREEN BELT IS IMPORTANT BUT BALANCE IT'S ROLE WITH THE NEEDS OF THE BOROUGH OVER THE PLAN PERIOD" AND "GREEN BELT RELEASE IN THE OUTLYING SETTLEMENTS WILL INCREASE HOUSING CHOICE AND SUPPORT LOCAL SERVICES" FLY IN THE FACE OF THE FUNDAMENTAL PURPOSE OF THE GREEN BELT AND WILL STILL BE BEING USED WHEN THE WHOLE OF THE UK. IS BUILT OVER!

10

THE COUNCIL ~~REFER~~ REFER TO THE ADVANTAGE AFFORDED BY HS2 AND NORTHERN POWERHOUSE MAIL BUT I AM AFRAID I DO NOT SHARE YOUR OPTIMISM. ONE WILL NOT STOP AT WARRINGTON AND THE OTHER LOOKS INCREASINGLY UNLIKELY TO BE BUILT.

11) TO CONSIDER THE SPECIFIC PROPOSAL TO BUILD 200 HOUSES IN CULCHETH AND RECOGNISING THAT IF WE MUST HAVE GREEN BELT ENCROACHMENT IT IS LUDICROUS TO BUILD THEM ALL IN ONE ESTATE AND EVEN WORSE IN THAT PARTICULAR POSITION. THE JUNCTION OF WARRINGTON RD. WITH HOLCROFT LANE IS A NIGHTMARE DUE TO THE NEW SCHOOL ENTRANCE AND ANOTHER JUNCTION AND 400 MORE CARS IS DAFT!!

J. CARR