

Response 37

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: David Hemmings

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	X	
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate	X	

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The plans do not appear to take account of the GreenBelt. It appears that development will be allowed out of proportion with Brownfield sites that should be developed as a first priority.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to upload documents to support your representation form then please select 'choose file' below. You can upload a max number of 2 files (up to 25MB each). If you are submitting more than one representation form please note: If this file upload supports more than one representation form then please do not attempt to upload the same file on subsequent forms. On additional representation forms please use the comments/file description box to type in the 'name of the file', or 'see previous form'. If the file upload is a different document for additional representation forms then please continue to upload the file as normal.

- File: Warrington Local Plan April 2019.doc - [Download](#)

Comments/file description

Warrington Local Plan April 2019 representation

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)

Dear Sir or Madam,

The Warrington Local Plan 2019 – 2017 to 2037

A response from a resident living in the South Warrington district at WA13 9WB

I request that the Warrington Council should look very closely at their own plans to see if the ideas and suggestions put forward can be achieved? Is the Plan fit for purpose?

- For example in the Lymm area it has been suggested that 430 houses are required to be built by 2022. It is doubtful that enough land is available to support these figures? Is sufficient low cost housing to be built at the same time?
- Greenbelt. The boundaries for greenbelt have previously been agreed with Warrington BC but suddenly 600 acres are required. Why? Is it because developers much prefer this type of land? It costs them so much more to develop Brownfield sites, which often have restrictive limits. Wildlife loss of habitat appears to be ignored or given only cursory lip service.
- Is there a true and realistic economic growth likely to be achieved by this Plan?
- Infrastructure in Warrington is weak. The 3 existing bridges can barely cope with peak time traffic in 2019. Further housing developments will only make a bad situation worse, and commercial vehicle traffic on the new M62 junction will only add to these vehicles, throughout the length of the main A49 north and south of the town centre. Will the town centre benefit from any of these proposals? Can local schools and health services cope with the demands of the existing population?
- Air Quality. Will air quality and pollution be measurably worse or remain unchanged? Air pollution is now a recognised health risk.

This resident expects that some development must take place but The Plan must take on board that changes have to be balanced and justified by supporting infrastructure that also creates benefits for the town, not just profits for the developers. The Council appears to be hell bent on development of a Plan, any Plan, at any cost.

David Hemmings 28th April 2019

