

# Response 40

## Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Sue Davey

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

A person who works in Warrington

Local Business owner/Manager

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Vision

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

A policy sub-number (s)

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	X	
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

1. The plan must NEVER be adopted.
2. I trust the Council will fulfil its obligation to carefully consider objections as previous objections have been totally ignored.
3. The approach given in the plan does not support the vision:
  - loss of green areas, more traffic, more pollution, lower air quality are not my vision of "a place to be proud of"
  - supporting existing low skilled warehouse type traditional jobs, not promoting high tech, challenging future jobs is not supporting the vision of "a strong economy", its the opposite.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Vision. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

## PART B - Representation Form 2

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy DEV1 Housing Delivery

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

1. I object to any use of greenbelt. There are brownfield sites available. There must be NO use of greenbelt at all. Recent international and UK reports show:
  - soil is an important resource in minimising climate change. Any building, disturbing, covering of soil adversely effects climate change significantly.
  - loss of biodiversity (an outcome of removing greenbelt) is an even more challenging disaster than climate change.
2. The plan should use brownfield sites every single time. Why spoil greenbelt which we have an obligation to preserve for future generations when there are alternative brownfield sites. Once lost greenbelt can never be recovered, ever.
3. The greenbelt sites marked in South Warrington include ancient oaks, protected species such as bats and rare species such as tawny owls. Losing the greenbelt will impact all of these adversely. Removing some of a nature reserve at Moore is unacceptable – it is a nature reserve by its very name!
4. Building of new houses is not a driver for development. The drivers are employment, health care, education, ease of transport all of which need to be delivered to see if new housing is needed.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy DEV1 Housing Delivery. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

## PART B - Representation Form 3

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy TC1 Town Centre and Surrounding area

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

1. To get regeneration from the town centre people need to be able to get there. Currently the traffic into the centre is often atrocious. Its 4 miles and can take an hour – the solution is not to go and to go elsewhere. The plan must fix access rather than make it worse.
2. There are 2 stations in Warrington both of which I would like to use (rather than driving) as this is a more environmentally friendly approach. Getting to the stations and parking is always a challenge. The plan does nothing to address this.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy TC1 Town Centre and Surrounding area. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

## PART B - Representation Form 4

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

1. I object to any use of greenbelt. There are brownfield sites available. There must be NO use of greenbelt at all. Recent international and UK reports show:  
- soil is an important resource in minimising climate change. Any building, disturbing, covering of soil adversely effects climate change significantly.  
- loss of biodiversity (an outcome of removing greenbelt) is an even more challenging disaster than climate change.  
2. The plan should use brownfield sites every single time. Why spoil greenbelt which we have an obligation to preserve for future generations when there are alternative brownfield sites. Once lost greenbelt can never be recovered, ever.  
3. The greenbelt sites marked in South Warrington include ancient oaks, protected species such as bats and rare species such as tawny owls. Losing the greenbelt will impact all of these adversely. Removing some of a nature reserve at Moore is unacceptable – it is a nature reserve by its very name!  
4. Giving greenbelt as employment land is totally unacceptable and must not go ahead. Greenbelt is irreplaceable. There are empty office facilities all around, why is more needed? What study has been done of employment needs to 2037? All my work and the UK & international studies are showing a changing employment approach with more flexible and more homeworking, not office or depot based working. I am not convinced the need for more facilities exists at all. There are other ways to increase employment, especially high tech employment rather than building. Training, education, superb internet and remote working etc all should come first. This will aim at higher paid jobs with more opportunities.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

## PART B - Representation Form 5

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy DEV4 Economic Growth and Development

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

What study has been done of employment needs to 2037? All my work and the UK & international studies are showing a changing employment approach with more flexible and more homeworking, not office or depot based working. I am not convinced the need for more facilities exists at all. There are other ways to increase employment, especially high tech employment rather than building. Training, education, superb internet and remote working etc all should come first. This will aim at higher paid jobs with more opportunities.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy DEV4 Economic Growth and Development What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

## PART B - Representation Form 6

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy INF5 Delivering Infrastructure

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

There is no solution to traffic blackspots such as A49 Cat and Lion and A49 through Stockton Heath. More houses will make this significantly worse, not better. This will reduce air quality potentially to unacceptable levels – what estimates have been done? There is no solution to the 3 swing bridges which cause considerable delays. There needs to be a solution prior to more traffic generated through more housing and or more employment development. Currently the plan makes traffic congestion worse not better. This impacts air quality and health. It also makes me less likely to live here if there is significant congestion.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy INF5 Delivering Infrastructure. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

## PART B - Representation Form 7

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy MD2 Garden Suburb

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

1. The plan must NEVER be adopted.
2. I trust the Council will fulfil its obligation to carefully consider objections as previous objections have been totally ignored.
3. I object to any use of greenbelt. There are brownfield sites available. There must be NO use of greenbelt at all. Recent international and UK reports show:
  - soil is an important resource in minimising climate change. Any building, disturbing, covering of soil adversely affects climate change significantly.
  - loss of biodiversity (an outcome of removing greenbelt) is an even more challenging disaster than climate change.
4. The plan should use brownfield sites every single time. Why spoil greenbelt which we have an obligation to preserve for future generations when there are alternative brownfield sites. Once lost greenbelt can never be recovered, ever.
5. The greenbelt sites marked in South Warrington include ancient oaks, protected species such as bats and rare species such as tawny owls. Losing the greenbelt will impact all of these adversely. Removing some of a nature reserve at Moore is unacceptable – it is a nature reserve by its very name!
6. Building of new houses is not a driver for development. The drivers are employment, health care, education, ease of transport all of which need to be delivered to see if new housing is needed.
7. There is no solution to traffic blackspots such as A49 Cat and Lion and A49 through Stockton Heath. More houses will make this significantly worse, not better. This will reduce air quality potentially to unacceptable levels – what estimates have been done? There is no solution to the 3 swing bridges which cause considerable delays. There needs to be a solution prior to more traffic generated through more housing and or more employment development. Currently the plan makes traffic congestion worse not better. This impacts air quality and health. It also makes me less likely to live here if there is significant congestion.
8. To get regeneration from the town centre people need to be able to get there. Currently the traffic into the centre is often atrocious. Its 4 miles and can take an hour – the solution is not to go and to go elsewhere. The plan must fix access rather than make it worse.
9. There are 2 stations in Warrington both of which I would like to use (rather than driving) as this is a more environmentally friendly approach. Getting to the stations and parking is always a challenge. The plan does nothing to address this.
10. Giving greenbelt as employment land is totally unacceptable and must not go ahead. Greenbelt is irreplaceable. There are empty office facilities all around, why is more needed? What study has been done of employment needs to 2037? All my work and the UK & international studies are showing a changing employment approach with more flexible and more homeworking, not office or depot based working. I am not convinced the need for more facilities exists at all. There are other ways to increase employment, especially high tech employment rather than building. Training, education, superb internet and remote working etc all should come first. This will aim at higher paid jobs with more opportunities.
11. The approach given in the plan does not support the vision:
  - loss of green areas, more traffic, more pollution, lower air quality are not my vision of “a place to be proud of”
  - supporting existing low skilled warehouse type traditional jobs, not promoting high tech, challenging future jobs is not supporting the vision of “a strong economy”, its the opposite.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy MD2 Garden Suburb. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)