

Response 86

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Richard Ortyl

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I would like to raise a formal complaint about the Warrington 2017 – 2037 plan.

To build yet more vast bland housing estates on Green Belt land is a disgrace. The areas proposed to be concreted over are the home for a number of increasingly endangered species including frogs, toads and hedgehogs as well as lots of birdlife. To concrete over this land will lead to the loss of yet more rapidly shrinking habitat and the loss of these endangered species. To kill this wildlife is morally indefensible and a loss for everyone in the area. Any development should be limited to brownfield sites and if these are not available in the area then the housing should be built in areas where it is. There is lots of brownfield land in East Manchester for example.

To build on part of Moor Nature Reserve is unbelievable and should not be legal. That's what the reserve is for.

The M6 in the rush hour is already completely snarled for miles on the southbound carriageway in the mornings approaching the Thelwall viaduct and in the opposite direction in the evenings. It can take an hour or more to get from junction 22 to 19 in the morning. Manchester councils are allowing the building and expanding of transport hubs around the M60 and M62 which will make the problem much worse. To add more volume to this already congested road is madness.

The A49 not able to cope with the current volume of traffic in the rush hour let alone an increase. In the busiest period of the year (September to December) it is not unusual to see traffic queuing for 2 miles southbound.

I think the Council, if it cares, will lose a lot of support over this concreting of the Green Belt and destruction of a 'nature reserve' in South Warrington.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I would like to raise a formal complaint about the Warrington 2017 – 2037 plan.

To build yet more vast bland housing estates on Green Belt land is a disgrace. The areas proposed to be concreted over are the home for a number of increasingly endangered species including frogs, toads and hedgehogs as well as lots of birdlife. To concrete over this land will lead to the loss of yet more rapidly shrinking habitat and the loss of these endangered species. To kill this wildlife is morally indefensible and a loss for everyone in the area. Any development should be limited to brownfield sites and if these are not available in the area then the housing should be built in areas where it is. There is lots of brownfield land in East Manchester for example.

To build on part of Moor Nature Reserve is unbelievable and should not be legal. That's what the reserve is for.

The M6 in the rush hour is already completely snarled for miles on the southbound carriageway in the mornings approaching the Thelwall viaduct and in the opposite direction in the evenings. It can take an hour or more to get from junction 22 to 19 in the morning. Manchester councils are allowing the building and expanding of transport hubs around the M60 and M62 which will make the problem much worse. To add more volume to this already congested road is madness.

The A49 not able to cope with the current volume of traffic in the rush hour let alone an increase. In the busiest period of the year (September to December) it is not unusual to see traffic queuing for 2 miles southbound.

I think the Council, if it cares, will lose a lot of support over this concreting of the Green Belt and destruction of a 'nature reserve' in South Warrington.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

No building on the Green Belt.

If this is not possible then Warrington Council should refuse to house the additional population.

There is no point turning Warrington into a northern version of Milton Keynes filled with bland new housing destroying the countryside and local wildlife in the process.

There is plenty of brown field sites around Manchester and in the NE

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Plan](#)
Subject: Complaint About Loss of Green Belt Around Warrington proposed in the 2017 - 2037
Date: 13 May 2019 13:04:59
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

I would like to raise a formal complaint about the Warrington 2017 – 2037 plan.

To build yet more vast bland housing estates on Green Belt land is a disgrace. The areas proposed to be concreted over are the home for a number of increasingly endangered species including frogs, toads and hedgehogs as well as lots of birdlife. To concrete over this land will lead to the loss of yet more rapidly shrinking habitat and the loss of these endangered species. To kill this wildlife is morally indefensible and a loss for everyone in the area. Any development should be limited to brownfield sites and if these are not available in the area then the housing should be built in areas where it is. There is lots of brownfield land in East Manchester for example.

To build on part of Moor Nature Reserve is unbelievable and should not be legal. That's what the reserve is for.

The M6 in the rush hour is already completely snarled for miles on the southbound carriageway in the mornings approaching the Thelwall viaduct and in the opposite direction in the evenings. It can take an hour or more to get from junction 22 to 19 in the morning. Manchester councils are allowing the building and expanding of transport hubs around the M60 and M62 which will make the problem much worse. To add more volume to this already congested road is madness.

The A49 not able to cope with the current volume of traffic in the rush hour let alone an increase. In the busiest period of the year (September to December) it is not unusual to see traffic queuing for 2 miles southbound.

I think the Council, if it cares, will lose a lot of support over this concreting of the Green Belt and destruction of a 'nature reserve' in South Warrington.

Kind Regards

Richard Ortyl MBA FCIMC

