

Response 104

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: wendy stout

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

A person who works in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	X	
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate	X	

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I don't agree with the loss of Greenbelt as I do not agree with WBC aspirations for growth, it doesn't seem logical to release so much Greenbelt when all the indications are for a much slower growth. Why use ONS numbers from 2014 when more accurate numbers from 2016 are available... and then they definitely do not require an uplift, I believe that all of the housing needs could be delivered from Brownfield sites, with more becoming available within the next 20 years... why give away our Greenbelt now? Anyone of sound judgement would hold onto the precious Greenbelt for as long as possible. The current infrastructure is already at breaking point and to add the level of housing proposed is not a sound proposal, already our levels of pollution are unacceptable!! This plan is absolutely not based on sound judgement or what the people of Warrington want/need. It does not support the growing needs of an ageing population or that of young people who need social or affordable housing. WBC think again.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I assume the plan is not illegal
The plan has been communicated therefore a duty to co-operate.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Base this plan on the lower more realistic housing needs and use Brownfield first (use 2016 ONS without uplift)
Do not build any more housing until the infrastructure is resolved
First priority is to build more Social and Affordable housing

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)

Customer 'ABOUT YOU' Questionnaire