

# Response 116

## Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Phil Price

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

Other (please specify):  
Halton Resident

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)
Agent name (if applicable)
Address 1
Address 2
Postcode
Telephone number

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

A policy sub-number (s)

**If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:**  
Policy sub-numbers: 3 Specially land removed from the Green Belt as per: a. Warrington Waterfront c. South West Urban Extension para 5.1.12 an 5.1.13 as regards to the Green Belt Assessment The Policies Maps in relation to the Grenbelt gap between Moore and Warrington

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I feel GB 1 is unsound as it is:

It is not justified as an appropriate strategy  
It does comply with National Policy

Reasons why the plan is compliant with the Duty to Cooperate:

Policy GB1 is provided for a buffer between towns/villages, in effect the gap between Moore Village, part Halton will be effectively merged into Warrington.

Objective W2 para 136 of the NPPF states that changes to Green Belt boundaries must be intended permanence in the long term.

Once our green belt has gone, its gone forever. permanence means preservation of the purposes of Green Belt boundaries to protect and not undermine the Green Belt.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Loss of Green Belt is contrary to National Policy needs to be re-assessed

Contraction of Policies DC3, DC4 and DC5.

The impact on local amenities Moore village and the residents of Promenade Park will make plan not effective as required by National Policy.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)