

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [Local Plan](#)  
Subject: Burtonwood proposed development  
Date: 25 May 2019 13:05:06

---

Please see details below as to why I think the proposed development within Burtonwood is unsound, ill thought out, poorly communicated and undeliverable.

- No sound communication or meetings have been held within Burtonwood for constituents to fully understand the proposals and impact on them and the environment, with assistance in breaking down the core requirements in laymans terms for all to understand. We have had to travel to Warrington to see an onerous display of proposals.
- Green belt land has been chosen to develop on Green lane, it is unacceptable to even consider this with other plots available given the size of development on and near the Omega site, the impact it will have on wildlife, residents mental health and wellbeing as well as the added congestion on the Green lane/Phipps lane area is unacceptable.
- Warringtons vision of the future display should be in planning stage prior to consideration of further developments within the town and parish of Burtonwood, including improved travel networks cycle lanes etc.
- I have no available pollution level reports to submit but believe the current levels are unacceptable, introducing more commuters into Burtonwood will only exacerbate the levels of poor quality air for us and our future generations.
- I have been led to believe that development is required due to expansion and requirements of the residents, but given the size of the development over 10% of the existing housing I believe it to be well over inflated. 30% of the plot is to be of affordable construction for first time buyers when in truth given the quality and type of employment within the area (warehousing & retail) 30% is unrealistic and should be higher. There is assisted buying with shared ownership, this is not the answer (leading to possible abuse of the system from the other part owner) lower priced plots should be mandatory relative to the area. The type of housing that is being built in the area are high end plots in excess of £250,000 which is only encouraging city workers and professionals from outside of the area to purchase and commute, which as per previous comments is adding to congestion.
- I have no statistics to forward to back up my claims but current level of health care within the village is too low to accommodate another 160 properties residents and the schooling is also inadequate, given that some village children were unable to get a place in BCPS and I have to go to St Helens for a doctors appointment.
- I was advised at the consultation that a traffic survey had been carried out around the Phipps lane & Green lane area and the roads were able to support the additional vehicles from the development, this is unsound as it is a heavily congested area at peak times also Green lane is too narrow to have an entrance/exit especially at school times.
- Wildlife across the country including insects and animals is on the decline especially the well publicised Bee population (vital to pollination) building on green areas is pushing our wildlife even further into decline to the point of endangered species in some cases, so green belt consideration is unjustified and immoral.

I hope my points have an impact on the right decision to quash the development on Green areas.

Many thanks

Brian Yeoman [REDACTED] [REDACTED]