



**Subject:**  
**Date:**



Objection to local plan  
29 May 2019 07:14:25

---

Dear Sir / Madam,

I am emailing you with regard to the complicated procedure ordinary residents are expected to go through to simply object to a plan to completely devastate an area in which they live.

It doesn't fool me that you are carrying out what you call 'consultation' sessions to appear to let residents have their say. However, you are simply following procedure. Residents know they won't be listened to. It doesn't fool me that your 'online representation form' is so complicated that lawyers are confused by it. Yet, you refer to it as 'the easiest way to respond to the consultation'. It may be, for you....

Residents across Warrington are calling out to you to protect their green spaces. You are putting up your hands and referring them to the 'presentations' or 'consultation process'. WE ARE TELLING YOU THAT IS NOT GOOD ENOUGH!

Councillors in Warrington were elected to represent the views of the residents. They then took that chance to re-elect away, by choosing to only have 5 yearly elections. NOT GOOD ENOUGH!

This Labour council is ignoring residents and making any complaints about them difficult. They are trying to push through a totally unacceptable 'local plan' that will devastate our environment, cause traffic chaos and eliminate areas of beauty. The effect on our homelessness problem will be negligent as the expensive houses built are for 'executives' and totally unaffordable to most people living in Warrington. You are trying to push through a plan before you, as a council, are de-selected and replaced by people who care about Warrington's green spaces. THIS IS NOT FAIR AND NOT ACCEPTABLE.

The residents of Warrington REJECT your devastating use of our green spaces. We REJECT your 'consultation process' as it is not viable. We REJECT that the 'representation process' you offer as it is too complicated and has a deadline that is before YOUR re-election as a council. We REJECT that you even pretend to speak for us if you back this plan. You need to look for another way that does not threaten our environment.

Plainly and simply, you need to think again.

