

Response 765

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Sandy moor

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	-

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

This local plan is an absolute disgrace! The residents of south warrington choose to live here for its leafy suburb and village community feel. This idiotic "LOCAL" plan is driven by a greedy council that just want to increase council tax revenue and grow the size and shape of warrington in the hope that one day it will have the status of a city, this plan is NOT SOUND and is NOT driven by local people! We love our local green belt land and the plan shows a large portion of that being taken away. Unfortunately, south warrington is sandwiched between the manchester ship canal and the M56 motorway. This already creates congestion due to the number of crossings, there are three pinch points and they are the bridges over the ship canal. Stockton heath is supposed to be a nice old fashioned/upmarket village but is strangled seven days a week with traffic and parking. We need the new crossing between north west warrington and the daresbury expressway now, let alone without all the new proposed houses. Why not increase the housing development in unpopulated places like north east warrington or north west warrington which arn't sandwiched between logistical issues like the canal and motorway? At least these areas are serviced by a newly developed motorway that will contain 4 lanes (M62), the M56 only has three lanes and is very busy at rush hour. Who thought that was a good place to propose? Any crash or incident on the M56 or M6 creates absolute chaos in warrington. Anyone who lives in warrington will understand this, you end up with massive que's and HGV lorries following sat nav routes that arnt designed for these sorts of vehicles or levels of traffic. Warrington in general is not a great area for high population levels because the scope for highways is poor due to the surrounding motorways, 2 canals and the river mersey, there are pinchpoints everywhere! I would not like to see pollution levels for all these vehicles in such a small area! What schools, healthcare and shops are all these new houses going to use, the ones we have at the moment are full already!? PLEASE save our area of the character it possesses and DONT cram the area with industrial buildings and more houses!

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

- 1) Firstly I would like to see the green belt land between appleton and the M56 left alone! (SOUND PROPOSAL)
- 2) Warrington needs to massively improve the highway system even at current population levels and therefore should have consideration and plans as to how the newly developed areas will link to the motorways. (SOUND PROPOSAL)
- 3) Warrington requires more than one proposed ship canal/ mersey crossing! (SOUND PROPOSAL)
- 4) Official population increase predictions suggest 528 houses need to be built a year. The government's suggested figure is way above this figure at 909, warrington council are proposing 945 new houses per year, WHY?
- 5) Develop in areas that arnt sacrificing green belt land and create multi-storey buildings instead of expanding the urban footprints! (SOUND PROPOSAL)
- 6) Develop in areas such as "bold heath", "burtonwood", "winwick", "croft", "risley" and "culcheth", none of these places are sandwiched between a major motorway and canal and have great potential for expansion and great potential of linking into a developing 4 lane motorway (M62). (SOUND PROPOSAL)
- 7) A 10-15 year plan is more realistic to see how growth and sustainability progress and this could potentially save our green belt land and reduce the impact of everybody's concerns for the area. (SOUND PROPOSAL)

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)