

# Response 483

## Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Kelly Hesketh

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Please see attached document.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to upload documents to support your representation form then please select 'choose file' below. You can upload a max number of 2 files (up to 25MB each). If you are submitting more than one representation form please note: If this file upload supports more than one representation form then please do not attempt to upload the same file on subsequent forms. On additional representation forms please use the comments/file description box to type in the 'name of the file', or 'see previous form'. If the file upload is a different document for additional representation forms then please continue to upload the file as normal.

- File: Planning houses objections Garden City Suburb.docx - [Download](#)

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)

Dear Sir/Madam,

### **GARDEN CITY SUBURB – SOUTH EAST WARRINGTON**

On behalf of my family and co-residents I ask that the following observations be placed before the planning committee when considering the captioned application.

1. A review of the planning period needs to be undertaken as 20 years for population growth is too long a period and a shorter period of time would mean that not as many houses would be required, therefore saving our Green Belt.
2. The economic climate at the moment is uncertain and the forecasts need to be under optimistic not over optimistic.
3. The housing numbers need to be revisited and re-estimated. The housing budget is not set in London but set locally and the latest 2016 population figures should be used not the 2014 figures.
4. The houses planned to be built in this area will be too expensive for most of the employees working in the local area and will therefore be bought by commuters and fail in the attempt at looking after our own people first. More affordable housing needs to be agreed.
5. Shortly Fiddlers Ferry will be shut down (due to climate pressures), this would be a great location to build houses on a brownfield site. There are plenty of brownfield sites in and around Warrington that should be built on first before we start destroying green belt land.
6. The special circumstances required to build on green belt land has not been demonstrated within this plan – it all feels a little vindictive towards the residents of south Warrington.
7. The council has said that the new logistics sites (if they are passed) will generate employment for the area. Logistics and distribution is becoming more and more automated, the amount of jobs that may be secured vs the amount of housing being proposed appears to be way off target.
8. If all of these houses are built then there will be a lot local people who will leave the area due to the loss of green belt and the semi-rural lifestyle that they paid for. The local people who support the local shops and services will leave, commuters will move in and Warrington town centre will become derelict due to lack of people using it.
9. The transport infrastructure has been vastly underestimated and the infrastructure should be put in place **before** houses are built. The roads in this area are already nearing capacity.
10. Recently it was reported that Warrington was one of the worst places to live in regards to air pollution and health pollution is now recognised as a serious health risk, adding over 14,000 cars to south Warrington will exacerbate the problems.
11. The green space will all but disappear and this will affect people's health and wellbeing.

All of the above comments are germane to my objection to this development and, in particular, to the scale of the proposal. The government has issued planning guidelines to local councils suggesting brown field development first followed by small **unintrusive** expansion of established built up areas. This large scale development runs rough shod over local resident's aspirations.

Do you have any comment to the fact that the council receive money from the New Home Bonus – this potentially could earn the council a phenomenal amount of money – where will this money be spent?

Regards

Kelly Hesketh