

# Response 511

## Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Carl Wilson

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED]
Postcod	[REDACTED]
Telephone numbe	[REDACTED]

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan is unsound because:

- \* The plan period is 20 years, but only needs to be 15 years, it fixes development for a longer period than can be foreseen.
- \* Growth estimates are over optimistic and exceeds previous realities. The only foreseen growth motivator is logistics, where automation is likely to reduce employees. This would also need affordable housing for employees, whereas high-value housing is proposed.
- \* Despite the aim to expand based on logistics development, there is no plan to upgrade J20 on the M6 nor surrounding roads.
- \* The transport infrastructure is road/car dependent, despite expectations to reduce this. There's no proposal for a public transport infrastructure, nor provision for support for private electric vehicles with charging points. Failure to provide a sound plan also implies that vehicle pollution will increase.
- \* Despite the proposal to encourage use of the town centre, there's no realistic plan for crossings of waterways, and no reason to travel a congested road system to the town centre.
- \* There must be greater emphasis on brownfield sites in the town centre as opposed to allowing developers to cherry-pick greenfield sites as a 'quick win'. This contradicts government directive in that no special circumstances have been demonstrated.
- \* Income will lag expenditure and other bodies will have consequential expenses: medical services, schools, social workers, youth provision, police, job centres, transport, etc. This is a lack of duty to co-operate.
- \* The vision is for a vibrant town centre surrounded by attractive countryside. The proposed development will destroy this.
- \* Previous build rates for property do not even match the plan, so it is not deliverable.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan is not legally compliant because it does not have a credible evidence base.  
The plan does not show evidence of co-operation between agencies.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan needs to be based on credible evidence on current realities.  
The plan needs to address a realistic period (15 years)  
The plan needs to show co-operation between agencies such as NHS, police, education.  
The plan needs to address brown field sites as a priority over green field sites.  
The plan needs to use realistic build rates for property which is affordable by expected residents and provide an infrastructure to allow them to get to work.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)