

Response 593

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Dr Chee Yeong

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

A person who works in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	X	
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate	X	

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

1) Infrastructures - Schools & Health Centres:

there are no firm details or dates for completion of these facilities in relation to the developments. At present, the health centres in Stretton, Appleton, Appleton Thorn and Stockton Heath are over capacity and no extra provision has made for the already permitted developments in Stretton & Appleton Thorn. A similar undercapacity exists for the primary & secondary schools.

No funding has been identified for delivering any of the new infrastructure.

There are not firm plans which guarantees these facilities to be 'live' to cope with the influx of residents.

This is unsound and unsustainable.

2) Transport infrastructure:

No clear solution & routes have been proposed for new roads, motorway junctions and most important, canal crossing. Not withstanding these, no funding sources have been identified for any development.

No solution has been proposed to the 'pinch points' at the Manchester ship canal crossing. No proposals to access the motorway from the expanded industrial park and new garden suburb.

This is unsound and unsustainable.

3) There is no justification for releasing green belt:

The release is not needed to accommodate the predicted growth of Warrington's population.

The criteria for releasing green belt - check unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas, safeguarding countryside from urban encroachment, assist urban regeneration & prevent neighbouring towns form merging.

This is unsound and unsustainable.

4) Character of area:

The traditional villages of Stockton Heath, Appleton, Appleton Thorn will be subsumed into one big characterless conurbation losing the distinctive village identities.

5) Proposed numbers of houses are too high:

The proposed housing numbers are well above the government's suggested figure of 909/year.

This is unsound.

6) Proposals are undeliverable:

Warrington has never achieved anything on this scale making the plans unrealistic.

The highway and geographic limitations make this plan undeliverable.

7) Environmental impact:

Air quality standards are already low in Warrington which will be exacerbated by these developments. Removal of green belt & green spaces will also impair the environment.

This is unsound and unsustainable.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

unable to comment on legal compliance or duty to co-operate

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Infrastructure goals must be adequate and have firm deliverable specifications, funding committed and dates for delivery, without which developments must not proceed.

The number of houses proposed is too large and should be scaled back at least 10 - 20% to reduce environmental impact.

Greenbelt land need not be used if the number of houses are scaled back.

Firm transport and infrastructure plans capable of alleviating pinch points such as the Manchester ship canal crossing, access to town centre, as well as motorways must be planned and built in tandem.

In all, the proposals are unsound and unsustainable.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)