

Response 568

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Rebecca Lewis-Dalton

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	X	
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate	X	

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The explanation of why this plan is required appears reasonable, however unnecessary! When trying to research the average salary in Warrington I have found it to be between £24000 and £33000 and the average house price was just over £200000. I am certain that these houses (R18/P2/013, R18/P2/126, R18/P2/015 & R18/P2/017) will be mostly unaffordable for local Warringtonians and first time buyers.

The draft gives a poor excuse for not using brown field sites before destroying green belt land. Maybe a referendum on the development of green belt land could be considered for WA postcodes.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I am not very knowledgeable on the legalities of compliance for forcing development in areas where it isn't wanted, but I believe it happens as described in the plan.

I understand that the government has asked councils to submit areas which can be developed for housing and this appears to be an area where to maximise profit before fully using brown field sites that are more likely to be affordable to address the perceived housing shortage.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

If development is really, really necessary it would be more sound to build in an area where the housing prices will be in more of a price range for the average person. The wildlife in the areas R18/P2/013, R18/P2/126, R18/P2/015 & R18/P2/017 is stunning and diverse.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Plan](#)
Subject: Local plan
Date: 17 June 2019 17:30:38

To whom it may concern,

I have read the draft local plan and completed the online representation form which I completed to the best of my ability; however there were some items I which to share which there was no opportunity to discuss wildlife. I walk my dog along a footpath [REDACTED] I watch the season changes and study the wildlife. At this time of the year I see ducks, lapwings, buzzards, crows, goldfinches, sparrows and skylarks everyday. Occasionally I see fieldfares, badgers, foxes and kept awake by a little owl who sits in a tree after dusk, but I love it. I am currently observing the progress of the arable land where I think carrots have been sown and wheat is growing well. These are the reasons I chose to live here. It makes me feel almost suicidal that this may all be gone in a few years in my life time!

Not only is this a massive thing this morning I was trying to get to the centre of Manchester for an work related matter. To avoid taking my car into the city centre I attempted to drive to Birchwood and get the train to Piccadilly. I left my home just after 8am to get the 8:50 train and was 2 minutes late so decided to drive. I was at my destination within 20 minutes which seems absurd that I can travel into the heart of a city through the M62 roadworks and still get there quicker than travelling to Birchwood from Hatton! To consider building more houses and industry in this area seems insane. It is quicker for me to work in Chester as I now do for the NHS than to work in my local hospital because of the traffic congestion. North west NHS meetings used to be held in Halton or Warrington hospitals, but are no longer because of the congestion.

One small thing that could slightly improve congestion may be to scape/reduce the Runcorn Bridge toll. Since the new bridge opened the daily congestion that starts before junction 10 when travelling west is also saddening. Please do the right thing and retract this proposal or at least use brown field sites. Let's be realistic the average person on an average wage in Warrington won't be able to afford a house on the proposed development.

Regards

Rebecca Lewis-Dalton

[REDACTED]