

Response 577

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Louise Thomason

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan lacks detail and is flawed.
The presentations lacked detail, how are we supposed to comment on something when the information isnt there in the first place?
The consultations were incorrectly advertised.
There is no justification for the predicted need in housing growth (the targets have been set by those that have a vested interest ie Warrington & Co and the Local Enterprise Partnership, rather than official figures)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan would need to be rewritten giving detail. Everything seems to have been 'thrown in'
The planners at the consultations were not able to answer simple questions or provide sufficient information.
Most importantly there is no justification or exceptional circumstances to use greenbelt
The employment land is not backed by any meaningful economic strategy.
No case can be made for Greenbelt release before Brownfield is utilised
None of the infrastructure proposed uses public money (who is funding it?)
Vagueness over road network in the South, only suggestions given..again no detail just a wish list
No detail of provision of new Schools, Doctors etc
No plan on how to solve traffic problems which today 12th June an article in the Warrington Guardian states the Western Link will not solve the issue of traffic.
The plan is unsound and undeliverable

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)