

Response 626

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Arthur Briggs

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

Other (please specify): Resident of Halton Borough

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

A paragraph number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:
5.1.12 5.1.13

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Loss of Greenbelt is contrary to national policy and is far from justified against the objectives of the plan. NPPF para 134. The proposed SW extension would erode the gap between the two towns undermining purpose (b) in particular, ie preventing neighbouring towns merging into one another, but also purposes (a), (c) & (d)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy GB1 should maintain a permanent gap between Warrington & Runcorn by reducing the extent of the Warrington Waterfront Allocation and further reducing the SW Urban extension.

MD1 sub policy 8 should guarantee no development until the Western Link is built.
MD1 should include a section preventing HGV traffic travelling south to Moore.

The size of the housing allocation should be reduced and the Green Belt Gap increased.
A generous buffer should be provided along the ship canal to screen buildings from view and provide an acoustic barrier.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

PART B - Representation Form 2

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy MD1 Waterfront (including Port Warrington)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

A paragraph number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:
10.1.26 Sub paras 2, 4-11, 24-36, & 55

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Employment allocations on wildlife and leisure sites are contrary to national policy and also contrary to other policies in the plan to protect green infrastructure, ecology and recreation. (DC3, 4 & 5)
Loss of wildlife and leisure sites NPPF Para 180. Plans should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value.

The impact on residential amenities in Moore & Promenade Park makes the plan none effective in delivering the economic, social & environmental objectives required by the national policy.
Impacts on residential amenity NPPF Para 170, 184-5. Protection of historic environment.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy GB1 should be amended to maintain a permanent gap between Warrington and Runcom by reducing the extent of the Waterfront Allocation.

Policy MD1 should add a section which would prevent HGV traffic travelling south to Moore village.

Policy MD1 sub para 55 should include a requirement for a generous landscaped buffer along the ship canal to act as a screen and which would provide an acoustic barrier.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy MD1 Waterfront (including Port Warrington). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

PART B - Representation Form 3

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy MD3 South West Urban Extension

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

A paragraph number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:

Policy sub para 3-8, 30-32. Site specific requirements for the strategic gap with Moore. Para 10.3.15- 10.3.17

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Loss of Green Belt is contrary to national policy and is not justified against the objectives of the plan.

NPPF Para 134.

The proposed SW urban extension would erode the gap between the two towns undermining Green Belt purpose (b) in particular but also undermining purposes (a) checking unrestricted sprawl, (c) safeguarding the countryside from encroachment and (d) preserving the setting and character of historic areas.

On the current plans the buffer between the SW extension and Moore village is no more than one small field.

NPPF Para 180.

Planning policies should identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy GB1 should maintain an increased permanent gap between Warrington and Moore village by further reducing the extent of the SW Urban extension allocation. The gap on the current plan being no more than one small field.

Policy MD1 sub policy 8 should include a guarantee that there would be no development until after the proposed Western Link is built.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy MD3 South West Urban Extension. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)