

Response 604

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Jane Godber

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

A person who works in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I object to the local plan as a whole as I feel there is no justification and the plan doesn't give a cohesive frame for future development. The transport plan does not reflect the new housing numbers. The aim to improve the town centre is not supported by transport links and overall the development is going to reduce the quality of life for current residents. The quality of life I refer to is the increase in air pollution, increase in noise pollution, loss of village character, loss of wildlife and probably the most obvious and frustrating is the already gridlocked road network through the town.

Why the council is looking to use green belt is another reason I object. There is no justification for Warrington to be looking at using green belt (which had been given this status for good reasons) when there are brown field sites not included in the plan.

To summarise I object to the plan

1. Air pollution is one of the highest in the country. [REDACTED]. The increased housing and developments would further increase levels of air pollution.
2. Loss of green belt and wildlife. Apart from no justification for using green belt I challenge the council to explain why green belt is being used when brown field sites are not prioritised. The green belt has been given this status for a reason. I see no justification for changing the status.
 - i. The loss of green belt will have a further impact on the above grading air pollution.
 - ii. The loss of green belt will cause current villages to merge removing distinct character of South Warrington
 - iii. Merging of villages will prevent movement of wildlife
3. The transport plan doesn't address the current issues that we face as residents now and it certainly doesn't address any increase in traffic. The crossing of the Manchester Ship Canal is not satisfactory now and the plan does not fully address this. There is no justification for how more residential traffic will be accommodated. The gridlock in this area is horrendous especially if there is an accident on any of 3 surrounding motorways.
4. I challenge the council why such a long term plan has been made when areas such as Fiddler's Ferry will change in this time frame. We currently do not know what the impact of Brexit will have on the country.
5. Why plan for more houses than the council has been asked to look at. I challenge the council to justify why so many houses are needed. I also challenge the council to explain the rate of housing growth when it has not been justified from any source. As you can see from above. I strongly object to this plan.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

1. A revised plan with justification based on the needs of Warrington. This includes number of houses actually required, how the transport system can meet the needs of the residents,
2. Inclusion of brown belt sites and unoccupied sites
3. Maintenance and consideration of green belt and wildlife
4. Maintenance of distinct villages
5. Ways the plan can reduce levels of air pollution in Warrington. This has a direct impact on health.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)