

Response 646

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Susan Barton

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Exceptional Circumstances for Green Belt release

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

A paragraph number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:
8.5.20

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan states that open space within the borough is either vjust about meeting demand or there are deficits in existing levels of provision. Why then is more green belt being released?

How can building so many houses and distribution centres enhance local landscape character? 8.3.13

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Whilst acknowledging that some loss of green belt is inevitable over a 20 year period why is so much of it being lost in the south of the town?

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Exceptional Circumstances for Green Belt release. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Plan](#)
Subject: Local Plan
Date: 13 June 2019 15:52:37

Susan Barton



My comments are as follows:

- * The Stobart application and the 656 should have been included in the local plan and not treated as separate applications. The increased traffic, not just on local roads but also on the motorways which are almost daily clogged with huge lorries is unsustainable.
- * The jobs likely to be gained from the above developments are unlikely to be filled from any local populations living in the garden suburb as house prices even with affordable housing would likely be out of reach of most of the likely roles which will be created.
- * There is no route shown for the link between the A49 and A50 but this is more likely to become a Lorry run for Stobarts and 656.
- * The number of houses is arrived at to meet the expected number of jobs created from future economic growth. The majority of houses in the garden suburb are more likely to house people working in Manchester and Liverpool who will not bring anything to the community.
- * It's stated that the natural environment assets, particularly the borough's green links contribute to the local economy. They won't if there aren't any left.
- * Most of the remaining green belt will be inaccessible apart from by car as it will be soon the other side of the motorways. This will add to car use.
- * If all of the homes are built within the motorways the air quality will be trapped on the inner section. The western link will only add to this.
- * Where are the doctors, dentists and teachers going to be found as there is already such a shortage. This will lead to even greater waiting times and will inevitably affect the health of residents.
- * The loss of important ecological assets in the south of the town including Moore Nature reserve will be impossible to recreate as they have evolved over such a long time.
- * How will the Government's greenhouse gas cuts work with all of the additional traffic, homes and loss of trees etc?
- * The plan is over ambitious and the green belt will be sacrificed as a consequence.
- * The health benefits of open countryside is well proven, not just a park full of children and dogs.

Summary:

The plan is not sound

- No justification for predicted growth as if Brexit happens numbers will decrease.
- The character of the villages will be destroyed.
- No justification for such large scale release of land to satisfy the developers.
- No clarity on how the plan will be delivered in terms of financing.
- No need to add to the harm to the environment and air quality in the whole of the town.

Thank you.

Susan Barton

Sent from Sue's iPad