

# Response 675

## Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Kerry Quennell

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy OS1 Burtonwood

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

**If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:**  
Green belt land should be preserved to maintain the historical significance that Burtonwood village has. It is impossible to see how green belt land has been chosen over the fact that there is still 'available' land on the old airbase site. The industrial estate on Phipps Lane has been derelict land for over 30 years and as the former engineering factory was on there is brownfield land. Since the evolution of Omega and the building of 200 homes in nearby Earlestown, ie c.142 homes west of Common Road and c. 98 houses on the ex Deacons Brow Trading estate our roads cannot take anymore traffic. Building 160 houses will cause significant uplift in air pollution, traffic and loss of green belt sustainability.

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

No letter or information in detail explaining what the local plan was all about to every resident. It has been left upto individuals who are familiar with social media to pass information on especially to the majority if elders in the village. Also in 2017 when there was first information about a possible meeting it was only released on THE DAY of the meeting that it was taking place that evening, and it was published in the Warrington Guardian on the Thursday that it was that teatime! So No we were not given adequate warning or co-operation in the very beginning. It is not a sound proposition as brownfield sites have not been considered first. Warrington's brownfield register is supposed to be updated and considered yearly but it has not since 2017.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy OS1 Burtonwood. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

## PART B - Representation Form 2

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy OS1 Burtonwood

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

**If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:**

Green belt land should be preserved to maintain the historical significance that Burtonwood village has. It is impossible to see how green belt land has been chosen over the fact that there is still 'available' land on the old airbase site which is of course brownfield. And also land at Gemini 8 Junction 8 M62, 43,560-212,573 sq ft of land begging to be built on, next to the Travelodge etc, totalling 4.88 acres! The industrial estate on Phipps Lane has been derelict land for over 30 years and as the former engineering factory was on there is brownfield land. Since the evolution of Omega and the building of 200 homes in nearby Earlestown, ie c. 142 homes west of Common Road and c. 98 houses on the ex Deacons Brow Trading estate our roads cannot take anymore traffic. Building 160 houses will cause significant uplift in air pollution, traffic and loss of green belt sustainability. Traffic is horrendous circling the outskirts of the village especially from Collins Green through to Winwick and the inclusion for extra houses by aggressive developers will only exacerbate the problems Burtonwood has to put up with now. The loss of wildlife is immeasurable, we have a vast array of wildlife such as pheasants, squirrels, frogs, newts and pondlife, buzzards, bats, woodpeckers, chaffinches, sparrows! you only have to see the results of the RSPB to see the rapid decline.

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

We were not informed in 207 of any intended meeting until the day it actually happened and it was out in the Warrington Guardian the Thursday it was published and the 'meeting, was that very evening. Shocking, no one knew and there was no time to inform the people of the village never mind those at work that day.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

It doesnt appear to be legally sound when Warrington Borough Council are setting the housing target 4% above the minimum Government target. If this Greenbelt land is built on then it will not stop here. Build on Brownfield land first and redevelop and make beautiful what we already have. And why when there is evidence that the number of houses being proposed is based on 2014 government figures not 2016/17 figures showing we need less.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy OS1 Burtonwood. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)