

# Response 704

## Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Zoe McGuinness

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The National Planning Policy Framework states that brownfield sites should be utilised whilst maintaining strong protection for the greenbelt. There are other brownfield sites that should be used before greenbelt. The Planning Practice Guidance states that building new houses is unlikely to outweigh the harm to the greenbelt.

Plan is not sound - mass destruction in the south of Warrington will devastate the area and wildlife habitats. The proposed 19,000 houses is excessive, in particular 7,000 houses in the greenbelt is unjustified when Fiddlers Ferry is about to close and could contribute as a brownfield site.

The Transport Plan does not provide any details of how the new public transport systems would cross the Manchester Ship Canal or the Bridgewater Canal.

The infrastructure proposals are not adequate to mitigate the current problems of congestion, noise and pollution in South Warrington and also support the new housing and commercial developments, therefore the plan is not effective.

There has not been enough information given to residents about the plan and there has not been enough time to read the local plan and the transport plan plus six56 and Stobarts. Putting so many plans out at once is confusing people.

The new hospital site has not been decided, a new location could seriously impact traffic and prevent patients having access to the new hospital

WBC are relying on old Victorian bridges that regularly break down to cope with the increase in traffic from new developments. These bridges will be inadequate to support the additional traffic.

No feasibility studies have been carried out as stated in the Transport Plan - this should be done before making decisions.

The proposed western link will likely be used as a rat run and will not reduce congestion

The overestimation in housing numbers has a significant impact on the need to build on Green Belt. Warrington will lose 11% of its Green Belt, virtually all of it in South Warrington – this is unnecessary and disproportionately spread across the Borough. The M6 junctions between 19 and 21 are regularly gridlocked, the impact on the traffic increase has not been properly assessed

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Reduce the plan from 20 years to 5 years  
 Reduce the number of houses being built to current government standards.  
 Remove development from Greenbelt land  
 Scrap the Western Link plans  
 The proposed new housing is disproportionate and focused too heavily in the South of Warrington, this will have a devastating effect on the village setting of Grappenhall and wildlife, in addition our quality of lives will be severely impacted due to traffic congestion. I do not believe that this development is justified by 'coaxing residents out of their cars'. The bus service is inadequate and many people are unable to walk/cycle to work, what surveys have been carried out?  
 This plan contradicts the Transport Plan - The Greenbelt land is clean and green and should not be destroyed to make way for more houses, more pollution and more HGV's

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)