

Response 709

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: allison reynolds

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Please find below my objection to the local plan.

The plan appears to be based on inflated numbers at a time of great uncertainty - The economic growth figures are overly ambitious and now based on outdated information. The population growth figures appear to be over inflated – perhaps based on now inaccurate data and from my calculations of latest projects mean WBC are working on an assumed requirement of 20k new houses rather than 9k.

The plan appears to refuse to take into consideration the closure of Fiddlers Ferry power station to the North of Warrington. This is a massive area of brownfield land that will need to be redeveloped during the period. Surely the priority has to be to maximise brownfield sites before robbing our children of greenbelt forever.

Details on infrastructure requirements are vague and do not address the current issues with South Warrington being locked in by the motorways and the ship canal. WBC have been unable to address concerns about transport issues other than to loosely suggest further development of green space with four lane highways cutting across Stretton and Appleton and loose plans for an extra ship canal crossing.

The plan included for a huge commercial development of land at Appleton Thorn / Grappenhall situated on approx. 365 hectares of pristine land, some of it precious Green Belt land; premises would be 2nd biggest in the country behind Trafford Park. This is massive change to the use of land in this area and is unrequired. We are facing a period of uncertainty and a requirement to change the way we live. Building more and more warehousing and distributing more and more consumer goods by road is an outdated model.

The current plan and the urban garden is just urban sprawl. South Warrington is made up of a number of distinctive housing areas and villages, surrounded by lots of green space. It is a recreational area used by the whole of Warrington, for easy access to lanes, tracks and green spaces. The massive over development 7k additional houses will destroy the character and landscape of the area for ever. We live in Appleton Thorn. This plan does not support our NDP and will see our village completely swallowed up sitting in the middle of a huge housing estate that stretches from Stretton, to Appleton to Grappenhall merging into one of the largest distribution areas in the country.

The extensive construction, additional vehicle movements and resulting reduction in air quality plus the simultaneous loss of greenbelt will have a massive impact on the health and wellbeing of residents in south Warrington for many years to come.

I therefore do not consider that the current plan justifies the special circumstances required to release greenbelt, nor do I consider it deliverable.

This plan will be good for a few people who will make money from the development of the land. It will not be good for the people of Warrington.

I would also like to highlight that the objections raised by over 4000 residents during the last consultation do not appear to have been taken into consideration at all effectively telegraphing to Warrington residents that there is no point in participating in the consultation.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

This plan is not justifiable or deliverable.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now?
Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)