

Response 718

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Andrew Donlan

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The local plan is unsound on so many points.

The Greenbelt was designed to protect villages from urban sprawl. Under this plan these very villages will be lost.

The numbers quoted are not based on Government recommendations and are widely inflated.

The roads are already completely gridlocked daily and addition the entire town is used as a cut through to avoid clogged motorways.

There are a wealth of brownfield sites that are available for regeneration that have not been fully explored or included.

On top of those Fiddlers Ferry has just announced its closure adding further brownfield sites.

The air pollution in Warrington has already been identified as very high, this will add to that thus endangering our children's future.

The infrastructure is not in place to support this plan as mentioned reference the traffic but equally the Hospital and other medical services.

The plan has been deliberately carved up and made into a very confusing process.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan should be reduced to a 15 year plan and then reviewed but more importantly the available brownfield sites should absolutely be the first to provide.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

What is the oral examination? Wording designed to confuse the average resident and to complicate the objection process.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)