Warrington Local Plan 2021

Examination in Public

Respondent 2336 Cliff Renshaw

<u>Written Statement regarding Matter 6b – South East Warrington Urban Extension.</u>

My name is Cliff Renshaw, and make this statement to the EIP as a resident of

I have previously submitted a detailed response to the PSVLP 2021 dated 13th November 2021 and wish to emphasise that two key issues within the PSVLP are not sound.

1. The Green belt changes re Stretton re parcels R18/088

- 1.1. The Arup report on changes to the Green Belt is very detailed, however, as the authors readily admit, many of the decisions are based on "subjective judgements" and a more detailed examination reveals that the classification of parts of area 11 is not sound.
- 1.2. As explained at 2.4 in my response to the PSVLP the Arup assessment in relation to parcel R18/088 East & West is contradictory in that R18/088 East is designated as weak but a more detailed assessment against the five Principals of the NPPF shows the area as at least a moderate, if not strong, contribution to the Green Belt and not, as shown in the Arum report, a weak contribution.
- 1.3. It has been suggested the only reason the land in R18/088 to the south of Stretton Road has been removed from the Green Belt is to allow the Wallace/Miller homes scheme to be granted planning consent in return for the developer paying for a much-needed Link Road.
- 1.4. This is not an "exceptional circumstance" or a "sound planning" reason to change the boundary of the Green Belt.

1.5. Recommendation to the EIP

That the southern boundary for the Green Belt should remain at Stretton Road and not the M56.

2. The proposed link road from A49 to Stretton Road

- 2.1. As part of the LPT4 proposal, to relieve traffic congestion at the traffic lights at the Cat& Lion pub a new link road is required from the M56 J10 to Stretton Road.
- 2.2. The location of the proposed link was subject to a review by Highways England which gave two options:-

Option 1, direct off the M56 J10 roundabout using the existing old Northwich Rd junction to the Stretton Fox pub.

Option 2 that the proposed link should come off the A49 though site "18/088 and in return for their site being removed from the Green Belt the developer has agreed to pay for the link road.

- 2.3. WBC have argued that Option 2 via the A49 was the preferred route because it was recommended by HE but this was based on:
 - a) on an old traffic study which used the "Garden City" traffic projections and
 - b) that the developer had agreed to pay for the new link road.
- 2.4. WBC have refused an FOI request to reveal details of the discussion with the developer in relation to the proposed Wallace/Miller scheme and the agreement for the payment and location of the link road.
- 2.5. Since Garden City scheme has been abandoned, this renders the HE study out of date but both HE and WBC have refused to undertake a review to update the proposed route.
- 2.6. The proposal route of a busy link road through a new residential development with the access coming off the A49 as shown in the PSVLP is unsound both technically and practically.
- 2.7. To repeat point 1.3 above it is a most unethical planning policy to remove valuable agricultural land from the Green Belt simply to allow a developer to pay for infrastructure and for this reason it renders this part of the LPT4 unsound.

2.8. Recommendations

- a) That WBC are required to produce the information requested in the above FOI.
- b) WBC & HE are required to undertake a new review of the location of the link road based on the updated traffic models.
- c) That payment for any link road should be paid by Homes England whose extensive residential sites are currently being developed as part of the previous Local Plans.