From: Local plan web form on behalf of Main modifications consultation

To: Local Plan

Subject: Local plan main modifications consultation

Date: 25 March 2023 06:14:38

Submitted on Sat, 25/03/2023 - 05:57

Submitted values are:

Your information

Your name

Louis Whitfield

Address



Email address

Modification response

Which main modification or consultation are you responding on?

South east urban extension

Is the main modification legally compliant?

Nο

Why do you consider it is not compliant (max 2000 words)

Once again simply removing greenbelt land which is protected.

Greenbelt is greenbelt for a reason and should not be up for discussion for building gastly houses on.

Is the main modification sound?

No

Why do you consider it is not sound (max 2000 words)

Houses built on green built should be 0 brownfields should be used only.

Once the green belt has gone, it's gone forever which does not benefit the public only a loss of nature, aggurcularal land.

Benefits not only to the council and builders and land owners.

Detailed response and document upload

Detailed response to main modification (A maximum of 5000 words, which equates to roughly 10 sides of A4 paper)

The amount of green belt up to be destroyed in this area sickens my self and those involved should be ashamed to destroy such beautiful countryside for future generations,

The area is enjoyed by warringtons resdients from afar for walking which has many benefits to mental health during a mental health crisis.

Instead of destroying greenbelt for houses, contributing to more pollution, flooding risks, loss of nature habits, which should be there for future generations to enjoy.

We should be promoting it,to drive down food costs, reducing are reliance on forgein country's for food, and helping to fight the mental health crisis.

Creating more bio diversity in the areas, to help fight climate change should be the main focus of the plan, not making things worse, by adding volumes of cubmetric concrete into the ground.

It mentally worry's me how we can destroy so much countryside, and something that now causes me great stress and worry, only people to benefit are the council for increased council tax tax revenue and big multi national housing companies making gastly profits.

How so few people in charge can make gastly decisions for the majority of people who do not wish to have there area destroyed in the name of so called economical development.

Most people would rather live in a country where there is scenery, wildlife, less pollution, great farming and would rather struggle than see houses popping up at every inch of the green belt.